# Table 7. Employment in the U.S. uranium production industry by state, 2006–19

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Wyoming</td>
<td>195</td>
<td>245</td>
<td>301</td>
<td>308</td>
<td>348</td>
<td>424</td>
<td>512</td>
<td>531</td>
<td>416</td>
<td>343</td>
<td>323</td>
<td>245</td>
<td>197</td>
<td>146</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Colorado and Texas</td>
<td>263</td>
<td>557</td>
<td>696</td>
<td>340</td>
<td>292</td>
<td>331</td>
<td>248</td>
<td>198</td>
<td>105</td>
<td>79</td>
<td>61</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>54</td>
<td>44</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nebraska and New Mexico</td>
<td>160</td>
<td>149</td>
<td>160</td>
<td>159</td>
<td>134</td>
<td>127</td>
<td>W</td>
<td>W</td>
<td>W</td>
<td>W</td>
<td>W</td>
<td>56</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>48</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Arizona, Utah, and Washington</td>
<td>120</td>
<td>245</td>
<td>360</td>
<td>273</td>
<td>281</td>
<td>W</td>
<td>W</td>
<td>W</td>
<td>W</td>
<td>W</td>
<td>W</td>
<td>56</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>48</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>California, Montana, North Dakota, Oklahoma, Oregon, Utah, and Virginia</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>W</td>
<td>W</td>
<td>W</td>
<td>W</td>
<td>W</td>
<td>W</td>
<td>W</td>
<td>W</td>
<td>W</td>
<td>W</td>
<td>W</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td>755</td>
<td>1,231</td>
<td>1,563</td>
<td>1,096</td>
<td>1,073</td>
<td>1,191</td>
<td>1,196</td>
<td>1,156</td>
<td>787</td>
<td>625</td>
<td>560</td>
<td>424</td>
<td>372</td>
<td>265</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

W = Data withheld to avoid disclosure of individual company data.

Note: Totals may not equal sum of components because of independent rounding.