

White Paper on Development of A Liquid Fuels Market Module for NEMS

LFMM Technical Workshop
U.S. Energy Information Administration

September 30, 2009

Revised Presentation: October 14, 2009

Dave Hirshfeld
MathPro Inc.

1. A perspective on refinery modeling
2. Some thoughts on the modeling platform
3. Forecasting (AEO and IEO) applications
4. Special studies
5. A proposed modeling approach
6. Model calibration
7. Representation of advanced biofuels supply

1. A perspective on refinery modeling

- A model should be as simple as possible, but not simpler
- It is better to be approximately right than precisely wrong
- Analysis is done by analysts, not by models

2. Some thoughts on the modeling platform

- Start fresh
- Adopt best practice in system design
 - ▶ User interface based on Web conventions and tools
 - ▶ Data maintained in relational database
 - ▶ “Facts” and “Choices” maintained in separate classes
 - ▶ Crude assay library and assay manager
 - ▶ Promote visibility – symbolic modeling and model analysis components
 - ▶ Advanced case management capabilities

3. Forecasting applications

- Relatively simple and compact multi-region model adequate for forecasting applications
- Model should encompass not only U.S. regions but also short-haul and European export regions
- Model should produce estimates of
 - ▶ Net refinery consumption of purchased fuel and power
 - ▶ Refinery emissions of CO₂
 - ▶ Energy density of transportation fuels

4. Special study applications

- Moderately detailed, but highly flexible, refining sector model template needed for special studies
- Model and platform should be capable of processing many cases in the course of any given study
- Model and platform should be capable of representing refining sector
 - ▶ At various levels of aggregation, and
 - ▶ With different routes for capacity investments

4. Special study applications (cont'd.)

- Special studies require modeling at various levels of aggregation
 - ▶ Aggregate national model
 - ▶ Regional models
 - ▶ Notional (“generic”) models
- Notional models can capture various types of investment economics
 - ▶ “Grass roots”
 - ▶ Expansion
 - ▶ Retro-fitting to a new service
 - ▶ Capacity creep

5. Different applications \Rightarrow different models

- Two distinct LFMM models (see Exhibits 3 and 4)
 - ▶ Simple, multi-regional one for AEOs and IEOs
 - ▶ More complex, flexible modeling template for special studies
 - ▶ Both process-oriented optimization models
 - ▶ Same modeling platform
- EIA might want a third LFMM model, representing all U.S. refineries individually in highly simplified form
 - ▶ Spread-sheet based, non-optimizing
 - ▶ For identifying advantaged/disadvantaged refineries
 - ▶ For assessing credit trading programs

6. Model calibration

- Models should be *calibrated* annually to most-recent year regional operations, as reported
 - ▶ Production rates of primary refined products
 - ▶ Capacity utilization
 - ▶ Properties of gasoline and distilled pools
 - ▶ Refined product prices (marginal refining costs)
- Calibration addresses two vexing issues
 - ▶ Matching reported prices of refined products
 - ▶ Over-optimization due to aggregation
- Calibration establishes credibility

7. Representation of advanced biofuels

- Current status of advanced bio-fuels (e.g., cellulosic ethanol)
 - ▶ Uncertain technical and economic feasibility
 - ▶ Unknown time to achieve any given production volume
 - ▶ Inherent fragmentation of any future industry
 - ▶ No commercial production ⇒ no commercial data
- Cellulosic and corn ethanol are intrinsically different
 - ▶ Maturity: technology, infrastructure, operating data, markets
 - ▶ Granularity: technology, feedstock, region

7. Representation of advanced biofuels (cont'd.)

- Granularity implies extensive, expensive, and continuing effort to
 - ▶ Capture and analyze data
 - ▶ Create and update formal supply models
- Without commercial data, one cannot forecast; one can only speculate
- Adding a virtual sector to the set of real sectors represented in NEMS would be pernicious