

# FERC Policy and Grid-Scale Storage

Energy Information Administration Energy Conference 2015 Washington, DC

Presented by:

Bob Hellrich-Dawson, Ph.D.
Federal Energy Regulatory Commission Staff bob.hellrich-dawson@ferc.gov

# Official Disclaimer

- The speaker does not represent the views of the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission.
- ➤ My experience: Economist; RTO and ISO markets for electricity and ancillary services, storage, renewable energy integration, transmission cost allocation, market power mitigation.

# Agenda

- > The big orders that defined open access
  - o Order No. 888
  - o Order No. 890
- ➤ Generic rulemakings/orders
  - o Order No. 755 Frequency Regulation Compensation
  - o Order No. 784 Third Party Provision of Ancillary Services & Accounting Standards
  - o Order No. 792 Small Generator Interconnection
  - o NOPR (Docket No. RM155-2) Market-Based Rates for Frequency Response Service
- ➤ Some precedent where has FERC already made decisions?
  - o Various RTO/ISO tariff rules concerning storage resources
  - o CAISO Tx planning
- ➤ What is next?

# The Big Orders - Open Access

- > Order No. 888 (1996)
  - o All transmission providers must have an Open Access Transmission Tariff (OATT)—established the *pro forma* tariff.
  - OATT must detail minimum terms for service—nondiscriminatory
  - o Public utilities and transmitting utilities can recover costs through their transmission rates
  - o No terms of the Order are specific to storage.

# The Big Orders - Open Access

- > Order No. 890 (2007)
  - o Changed the Ancillary Services schedule from what was required under Order No. 888.
    - "reactive supply and voltage control, regulation and frequency response, energy imbalance, spinning reserves, supplemental reserves and generator imbalance services, respectively, may be provided by non-generation resources" (emphasis added)
    - Note: New technologies are more prominent in the RTO and ISO regions.

# The Big Orders – Open Access

- > Order No. 890 (2007)
  - o Added transmission planning requirements.
    - Transmission providers must have an open process to consider transmission and transmission alternatives. All resources must be treated comparably.
    - In practice, this means if anyone proposes a transmission alternative (i.e. use a battery to provide voltage support rather than using another transmission line) it must be considered. But there is no requirement to use that other resource.
  - o Nothing specific to storage—but it opens the door.

- ➤ Order No. 755: Frequency Regulation Compensation in the Organized Wholesale Power Markets (2011)
  - o Only applies to RTOs/ISOs
  - o Resource-neutral—takes no position on technologies and does not "pick winners"
  - o However, relevant to storage because of the economics

- Legal Finding: <u>undue discrimination</u> in how resources are compensated.
  - o Frequency regulation is a service, not just injections and withdrawals of real power.
  - o Therefore, asking resources to provide more service must result in those resources being paid more (all other things equal)
  - o Compensation must reflect the differences in how much service is provided.

Federal Energy Regulatory Commission

#### ➤ Order No. 755 Requirements

- o Uniform clearing price for capacity to provide Frequency Regulation (Capacity Payment). Market-based price based on bids. Must include opportunity costs.
- o Uniform clearing price for the provision of real-time Frequency Regulation service (Performance Payment). Market-based price based on bids.
- o Payment must account for accuracy.
- o Great flexibility allowed for the RTOs and ISOs to design detailed market rules that work appropriately for their regional markets.

- ➤ Order No. 755 did not
  - o address qualification as a regulation resource.
  - o specify bidding parameters, etc. that would determine how the prices are calculated.
  - o address how resources are dispatched.
  - o create different "classes" of resources (i.e. "fast" versus "slow" resources).
- > The rule focused exclusively on compensation.

- ➤ Compliance all RTOs/ISOs have complied and implemented new rules.
- ➤ Rules vary by RTO/ISO.

- >RTO/ISO Implementation
  - ➤ Clearing methods & determining prices
  - ➤ Measuring accuracy
  - >CAISO, MISO, NYISO, and SPP are straight-forward
  - > PJM and ISO-NE are not
  - ➤ Dispatch methods also vary (not impacted by O. 755)
  - ➤ Charge state management varies by RTO/ISO also

- ➤ Third Party Provision of Ancillary Services; Accounting and Financial Reporting for New Electric Storage Technologies (2013)
  - o Mostly applies outside of RTOs/ISOs.
  - o The *Avista* restriction limited third party sales of Ancillary Services: Transmission Providers could only procure AS from third parties at cost-based rates.
  - o Self-supply of AS was at fixed MW rates.

Federal Energy Regulatory Commissior

#### ➤ Market-Based Rate Authority

- Easier for competitive suppliers to gain MBRA for imbalance and operating reserve sales.
- New mitigation options for sellers of reactive supply and frequency regulation

Federal Energy Regulatory Commissior

#### > Self-supply

- New rule: if a transmission customer wants to self-supply, the TO must account for speed and accuracy of resources the TC will use in determining reserve requirement.
- In other words, if the TC has really fast and accurate resources, they can self-supply fewer MW than otherwise.

Federal Energy Regulatory Commissior

#### ➤ Transparency

- Transmission providers required to post data on regulation reserve requirements.
- Easier to compare speed and accuracy for third-party sellers.

- ➤ Small Generator Interconnection (2013)
  - o 20 MW or less
  - Applies nation-wide
- ➤ Many changes made to SGIP/SGIA
  - Pre-Application Reporting by the transmission provider
  - Fast-track process changes
  - Review of required upgrades

Federal Energy Regulatory Commissior

#### ➤ SGIP and Storage

- Small Generating Facility definition changed to explicitly include storage devices.
- Clarified how capacity will be measured (maximum amount of injection).

# Generic Orders – Sales of Frequency Response at Market-Based Rates

- ➤ Market-Based Rates Notice of Proposed Rulemaking (2015) (Docket No. RM15-2)
  - Applies to primary frequency response (i.e., not frequency regulation) only.
  - In anticipation of individual, unbundled, sales.
  - Proposal: If you have MBR authorization for energy now, you are presumed to not have market power in the sales of frequency response.

## **Other Commission Precedent**

- ➤ Various RTO/ISO rules on treatment of storage as an ancillary services resource all frequency regulation.
- > CAISO Transmission planning—Western Grid.
  - CAISO had to consider this resource as a transmission alternative.
     The precedent is set.
  - It was not chosen.

# **Next Steps?**

- ➤ Next steps depend on the industry.
- ➤ Next storage project proposed as a transmission alternative?
- > Proposed transmission and generation project?
- ➤ Is there an existing RTO/ISO rule that is unduly discriminatory or unjust and unreasonable? Tell us.

# Thank you!

Federal Energy Regulatory Commissior

# Questions?

Bob Hellrich-Dawson bob.hellrich-dawson@ferc.gov